

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 533 (1997) 31-43



C,H bond activation of imino substituted heterocycles: synthesis and crystal structure of $[\mu_2 - \eta^3 - (R)N - CH_2 - C = C - C(H) = C(H) - X]Fe_2(CO)_6$ and the isomeric clusters $[\mu_2 - \eta^3 - (R)N - CH_2 - C = C - X - C(R') = C(R'')]Fe_2(CO)_6$

Wolfgang Imhof *

Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Arbeitsgruppe "CO2-Chemie" an der Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Lessingstr. 12, 07743 Jena, Germany

Received 26 June 1996; revised 1 October 1996

Abstract

The reaction of Fe₂(CO)₉ with heterocyclic imines <u>derived from thiophene-2-carbaldehyde</u> or N-methyl-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde produces the dinuclear compounds $[\mu_2, \eta^3(R)N-CH_2-C=C-C(H)-X(H)-X]Fe_2(CO)_6$ (R = Ph, C₆H₁₁, p-1Bu-C₆H₄; X = S, NMe). The analogous reaction with imines of thiophene-3-carbaldehyde or indole-3-carbaldehyde yields the corresponding isomeric clusters $[\mu_2, \eta^3(R)N-CH_2-C=C-X-C(R')]Fe_2(CO)_6$ (R = Ph, C₄H₁, R' = R'' = H, X = S; R = Ph, p-1Bu-C₆H₄, R' = R' = C₆H₄-, X = NH). The reaction proceeds via activation of the C,H bond in β-position relative to the exocyclic C,N double bond of the imine ligands. A 1,3 hydrogen shift reaction then leads to the formation of a methylene group instead of the former imine carbon atom. So, the imine ligands are transformed into μ_2 - η^3 -enyl-amido ligands being coordinated to an Fe₂(CO)₆ moiety, which is confirmed by X-ray structure analyses of six compounds.

Keywords: C,H bond activation; Imino substituted heterocycles; Iron carbonyl complexes

1. Introduction

A promising pathway in the development of organometallic catalysts capable of C,C bond formation is to look for metal ligand systems in which a C,H bond activation of the ligand is observed. In this context the activation of aromatic C,H bonds is one of the most attractive goals, and only a few reactions have been described in the literature, which include the activation of benzene in the presence of CO to give benzaldehyde [1], or in the presence of CO and ethylene leading to propiophenone [2], as well as the reaction of pyridine with CO and olefins respectively [3]. Very recently, Murai and coworkers [4] reported the coupling of an imidazole C,H bond with CO and a wide variety of olefins. We are interested in the reactivity of 1-azadiene ligands towards Group 8 organometallic compounds, in particular against the metal carbonyls Fe2(CO)9,

Ru₃(CO)₁₂ and Os₃(CO)₁₂. The reactivity of 1-azadieaes against Ru₃(CO)₁₂ is well documented, with the activation of the C,H bond in β -position with respect to the C,N imine double bond being the starting point of a reaction sequence leading to a large number of di-, triand tetranuclear ruthenium-azadiene cluster compounds [5].

The reaction of $Fe_2(CO)_9$ with 1-azadienes is reported to yield complexes (azadiene)Fe(CO)_3 [6]. Their reactivity against organic substrates is not investigated in detail, in contrast to that of their analogues (1,3-butadiene)Fe(CO)_3 [7] and (1,4-diazadiene)Fe(CO)_3 [8].

We report herein the reaction of 1-azadiene ligands, in which the C,C double bond is part of a heterocyclic system, with Fe₂(CO)₉ giving the dinuclear cluster compounds [μ_2 - η^3 -(R)N-CH₂-C=C-C(H)=C(H)-X]-Fe₂(CO)₆ (R = Ph, C₆H₁₁, p⁻¹Bu-C₆H₄; X = S, NMe) when starting from ligands with the imino group in 2-position of the heteocyclic ring. The isomers being substituted in 3-position of the heterocyclic systems therefore give the isomeric clusters [μ_2 - η^3 -(R)N-CH₂-C=C-X-C(R')=C(R')]Fe₂(CO)₆ (R = Ph, C₆H₁₁, R'

^{*} Corresponding author.

= $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{H}$, X = S; R = Ph, $p^{-1}Bu - C_6H_4$, R' = $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{v}} = -C_6H_4 - X$, X = NH). The reaction proceeds via activation of the C,H bond in β -position to the exocyclic imine bond, followed by a 1,3-hydrogen transfer to the carbon atom of the imine group.

2. Results and discussion

Imines with the C,C double bond being part of a heterocyclic ring are easily prepared by condensation of thiophene-2-carbaldehyde (1-3), N-methyl-pyrrole-2carbaldehyde (4-6), thiophene-3-carbaldehyde (13, 14) or indole-3-carbaldehyde (17, 18) with the corresponding amines. Reaction of these imine ligands with Fe₂(CO)₉ in n-heptane at 50 °C results in the formation of the dinuclear compounds $[\mu_2 - \eta^3 - (R)N - CH_2 -$ C=C-C(H)=C(H)-X Fe₂(CO), (7-12) or [μ_2 - η^3 - $(R)N-CH_2-C=C-X-C(R')=C(R'')Fe_2(CO)_6$ (15, 16, 19 and 20, Scheme 1) in moderate to good yield. The complexes are formed by an intramolecular 1,3-hydrogen shift from the carbon atom in β-position to the C,N double bond to the carbon atom of the imine moiety producing a CH, group instead. The dinuclear product clusters thus show a μ_2 - η^3 -enyl-amido ligand bridging an Fe₂(CO)₆ moiety. Complexes with the same structural principles have been observed as by-products from the reaction of $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ with α,β -unsaturated imines [5,9] by reacting [CpCo(C2H4)2] with N-phenyl-benzylideneamine [10] or from the reaction of Fe₃(CO)₁₂ with benzalazine [11]. Related iron compounds of formula [(R)N-C(H)-C(H)-Fe-C(O)]Fe(CO), have been prepared from the reaction of the carbene cluster (μ_{2} -CH₂)Fe₂(CO)₈ with phosphine imides in the presence of CO [12]. Thermally induced rearrangement of those binuclear cluster compounds yields the isomeric clusters $[C(H)-C(H)-N(R)-Fe-C(O)]Fe(CO)_{1}[12]$



1,3-Hydrogen shift reactions are quite common in isomerisation reactions of olefins, not only using Group 8 organometallic catalysts like $Fe(CO)_5$, $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ or $Ru(H_2O)_6(tos)_2$ (tos = *p*-toluene sulphonate) [13], but also using Group 9 organometallic compounds like $HCo(CO)_4$, $Co_5(CO)_8$ or CpRh(diene) [14]. There are



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of $[\mu_2 - \eta^3 - (Ph)N - CH_2 - C = C - C(H) = C(H) - S]Fe_2(CO)_6 7$.



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of $[\mu_2 - \eta^3 - (C_6H_{11})N - CH_2 - C = C - C(H) = C(H) - S]Fe_2(CO)_6 8$.

also is concristion reactions of olefins reported using $M(CO)_6$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) or (MeCN)₃Cr(CO)₃ as the catalyst [15]. Fe(CO)₅ is also known to catalyse the isomerisation of allylalcohol to propional dehyde [16].

In the imines 1-6, 17 and 18 producing the clusters 7-12, 19 and 20 respectively, only one H atom is available, so the μ_2 - η^3 -enyl-amido ligands are the only products to be obtained from a 1.3 hydrogen shift reaction of the H atom to the imine carbon atom. In contrast, the imines 13 and 14 should have two possibilities of realizing a 1.3 hydrogen shift reaction due to the presence of two β -H atoms, namely at C₂ and C₃ of the

thiophene ring. The formation of 15 and 16 as the only isolable products of the reaction proves the formation of $[\mu_2-\eta^3-\text{enyl-amido}]\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_6$ systems to be the most favoured reaction pathway.

2.1. Structure determinations

By means of recrystallization of the clusters 7, 8, 10, 11, 16 and 19 from mixtures of light petroleum (b,p. $40-60^{\circ}C$) and CH₂Cl₂ it was possible to grow crystals suitable for X-ray analysis. The molecular structures of the compounds are shown in Figs. 1–6, selected bond



Fig. 3. Molecular structure of $(\mu_2 - \eta^3 - (Ph)N - CH_2 - C = C - C(H) = C(H) - NMe)Fe_2(CO)_6$ 10.



Fig. 4. Molecular structure of $[\mu_2 - \eta^3 - (C_8 H_{11})N - CH_2 - C = C - C(H) = C(H) - NMe]Fe_2(CO)_6 11$.

lengths and angles are collected in Tables 1-6 (7: Fig. 1, Table 1; 8: Fig. 2, Table 2; 10: Fig. 3, Table 3; 11: Fig. 4, Table 4; 16: Fig. 5, Table 5; 19: Fig. 6, Table 6).

All structures have some details in common. They all consist of an $Fe_2(CO)_6$ moiety, which adopts a nearly ecliptic conformation, and a formal 6e-donating enylamido ligand. So another way to describe these molecules would be as aza-ferra-cyclopentadiene ligands which are coordinated to an apical $Fe(CO)_3$ fragment. This view is consistent with the fact that the atoms which are connected to the apical $Fe(CO)_3$ unit and the atoms building up the thiophene, pyrrole or indole heterocyclic system show a planar arrangement (Tables 1–6). The methylene group at C5 is shifted 34.8 pm (10) to 55.7 pm (19) out of this plane. This corresponds very well with the values being observed for other structurally characterized η^4 -metalla-azacyclopentadiene complexes [10,11] and for η^4 -cyclopentadiene complexes in general [17]. In the X-ray structure determinations of 7, 8 and 10, all hydrogen atoms were determined by Fourier difference calculations. In the case of 11 the hydrogen atoms of the methylene group (C5) and the olefinic hydrogen atoms at C1 and C2 have also been determined by Fourier difference calculations, whereas the hydrogen atoms of the methyl group and of the cyclohexyl ligand were calculated into idealized positions. For 16 only the olefinic hydrogen atoms at C1 and C2 have been deter-



Fig. 5. Molecular structure of [µ2-73-(C6H11)N-CH2-C=C-S-C(H)=C(H)]Fe2(CO)6 16.



Fig. 6. Molecular structure of $[\mu_2 - \eta^3 - (Ph)N - CH_2 - C = C - N(H) - C(H) = C(H) - (CH)_4]Fe_2(CO)_6$ 19.

mined by Fourier difference calculations. The other hydrogen atoms in 16 were calculated into idealized positions. In the structural analysis of 19, the hydrogen atoms at C5 have been determined by Fourier difference calculations again, while the aromatic hydrogen atoms have been calculated into idealized positions. In all structure determinations the envi-amido ligands show a slightly elongated double bond between C3 and C4 in the range 138.2 pm (10) to 140.5 pm (11, 19) due to the interaction of this double bond with the apical Fe(CO), group (Fe1). The C.C and C.N single bonds of the methylene group (7, 8: C4-C5, C5-N1; 10, 11: C4-C5, C5-N2; 16: C3-C5, C5-N1; 19: C3-C5, C5-N2) are of expected values for all structurally characterized compounds (Tables 1-6). The Fe,C bond lengths are very different. For all structures the Fe,C bond inside the aza-ferracyclopentadiene ring systems (7, 8, 10, 11,

Table 1

Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°] for 7

Fe1-Fe2	243.43(6)	Fel-NI	197.1(2)
Fel-C3	216.6(3)	Fe1-C4	227.9(3)
S1-C1	173.7(3)	SI-C4	173.4(3)
C1-C2	133.3(5)	C2-C3	145.3(4)
C3-C4	140.2(4)	C4C5	149.3(4)
C5-N1	149,2(4)	Fe2-C3	196.2(3)
Fe2-N1	199.3(2)	N1-C6	144.3(3)
N1-Fe2-C3	78.6(1)	Fe2-C3-C4	113.6(2)
C3-C4-C5	117.2(2)	C4-C5-N1	98.6(2)
C5-N1-Fe2	113.2(2)	\$1-C1-C2	113.4(3)
C1-C2-C3	113.9(3)	C2C3C4	109.3(3)
C3-C4-S1	113.2(2)	C4-S1-C1	90.2(2)
S1-C4-C5	127.3(2)	Fe2-C3-C2	136.9(2)
N1-Fe1-Fe2	52.53(6)	NI-Fel-C3	74.3(1)
N1-Fe1-C4	63.84(9)	Fe2-Fe1-C3	50.06(7)
Fe2-Fe1-C4	73.77(7)	C3Fe1C4	36.7(1)

Deviations [pm] from plane [S1-C1-C2-C3-C4-Fe2-N1]: S1 -8.7, C1 4.9, C2 9.0, C3 0.6, C4 -6.9, Fe2 -14.9, N1 16.3, C5 48.8.

16: Fe2-C4; 19: Fe2-C3), which can be considered as representing a σ bond, is the shortest Fe-C bond of the Fe₂(CO)₆ moiety to the ligand. They vary from 193.8 pm (19) to 197.7 pm (10) with the Fe,C bond in 16 and 19. where the corresponding carbon atom is also bound to the sulphur atom of the thiophene ring or the indole nitrogen atom respectively, being slightly shorter than the Fe,C bonds of the other compounds in which this carbon atom is bound to another sp² hybridized carbon atom (16: Fe2-C4 194.8 pm; 19: Fe2-C3 193.8 pm). The Fe,C bond lengths of the double bond between C3 and C4 to the apical Fe1 depend a lot on the constitution of the envl-amido ligand as well as on the heteroatom involved. 7, 8, 10 and 11 were derived from heterocyclic ligands bearing the imino substituent in 2-position. So C3 is connected to C2, C4 and both iron atoms, while C4 is bound to C3, C5, Fe1 and the

Table 2 Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°] for 8

		U		
Fe1-Fe2	244.99(7)	Fel-N1	196.3(2)	
Fel-C3	218.3(2)	Fel-C4	228.0(2)	
SI-CI	173.1(3)	\$1-C4	173.0(2)	
C1-C2	135.0(4)	C2-C3	145.5(3)	
C3-C4	140.1(3)	C4-C5	149.8(3)	
C5-N!	148.4(2)	Fe2-C3	195.9(2)	
Fe2-N1	198.9(2)	N1-C6	148.3(2)	
NI-Fe2-C3	79.30(8)	Fe2C3C4	112.7(2)	
C3-C4-C5	116.9(2)	C4C5N1	98.9(2)	
C5-NI-Fe2	H1.5(1)	SI-CI-C2	113.6(2)	
C1-C2-C3	113.3(2)	C2-C3-C4	109.2(2)	
C3-C4-S1	113.7(2)	C4-S1-C1	90.2(1)	
\$1-C4-C5	126.8(2)	Fe2C3C2	137.9(2)	
N1-Fe1-Fe2	52.18(5)	N1-Fe1-C3	74.63(7)	
N1-Fe1-C4	64.04(7)	Fe2-Fe1-C3	49.61(6)	
Fe2-Fe1-C4	72.89(6)	C3-Fe1-C4	36.51(8)	

Deviations [pm] from plane [S1-C1-C2-C3-C4-Fe2-N1]: S1 -9.8, C1 4.3, C2 10.1, C3 1.5, C4 -6.4, Fe2 -16.6, N1 16.9, C5 49.9.

Table 3 Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°] for 10

Selected bolid lenguis (print and angles () for the					
242.1(1)	Fe1-N2	197.5(5)			
212.7(6)	Fe1-C4	247.5(6)			
138.8(8)	N1-C4	134.3(7)			
135.0(9)	C2-C3	144.1(9)			
138.2(8)	C4-C5	147.7(9)			
148.8(8)	Fe2–C3	197.7(6)			
199.0(5)	N2-C7	144.1(7)			
76.6(2)	Fe2-C3C4	113.6(5)			
117.7(6)	C4-C5-N2	100.6(5)			
112.9(4)	N1-C1-C2	109.7(7)			
107.5(7)	C2-C3-C4	104.4(6)			
111.6(5)	C4-N1-C1	106.7(5)			
130.1(6)	Fe2C3C2	139.4(6)			
52.7(1)	N2Fe1C3	73.6(2)			
60.4(2)	Fe2-Fe1-C3	51.0(2)			
70.6(2)	C3-Fei-C4	33.9(2)			
	242.1(1) 212.7(6) 138.8(8) 135.0(9) 138.2(8) 148.8(8) 199.0(5) 76.6(2) 117.7(6) 112.9(4) 107.5(7) 111.6(5) 1130.1(6) 52.7(1) 60.4(2) 70.6(2)	Inguist (m) India angle (m) 242.1(1) Fe1-N2 212.7(6) Fe1-C4 138.8(8) N1-C4 135.0(9) C2-C3 138.2(8) C4-C5 148.8(8) Fe2-C3 199.0(5) N2-C7 76.6(2) Fe2-C3-C4 117.7(6) C4-C5-N2 112.9(4) N1-C1-C2 107.5(7) C2-C3-C4 111.6(6) Fe2-C3-C4 113.0.1(6) Fe2-C3-C4 130.1(6) Fe2-C3-C2 52.7(1) N2-Fe1-C3 60.4(2) Fe2-Fe1-C3 70.6(2) C3-Fe1-C4			

Deviations [pm] from plane [N1-C1-C2-C3-C4-Fe2-N2]: N1 15.0, C1 -0.5, C2 -16.1, C3 -10.2, C4 8.3, Fe2 25.8, N2 -22.3, C5 34.8.

heteroatom (Figs. 1–4). In all cases Fe1–C3 is shorter than Fe1–C4. In 7 and 8 with sulphur as the heteroatom the Fe1–C3 bonds (7: 216.6 pm; 8: 218.3 pm) are slightly longer than the corresponding bonds in 10 (212.7 pm) and 11 (213.7 pm) with nitrogen being the heteroatom. In contrast the Fe1–C4 bonds in 7 (227.9 pm) and 8 (228.0 pm) are nearly 20 pm shorter compared with the corresponding bond lengths in 10 (245.5 pm) and 11 (241.4 pm). The same structural features are observed for the isomeric cluster 16, although it is derived from a ligand with the imino substituent in 3-position of the heterocycle. The bond lengths of C3 and C4 to Fe1 are again different from the bond of C3, which is also bound to Fe2 via a σ bond, and is 17 pm longer than the bond of C4 to Fe1. The bond length of C3–Fe1

Table 4

Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°] for 11

Fe1-Fe2	242.13(6)	Fe1-N2	196.6(2)
Fei-C3	213.7(2)	Fel-C4	241.4(4)
N1-C1	138.5(4)	NI-C4	136.1(3)
C1C2	134.9(4)	C2-C3	144.9(3)
C3-C4	140.5(4)	C4-C5	148.1(3)
C5-N2	149.1(3)	Fe2-C3	197.0(2)
Fe2-N2	200.3(2)	N2-C7	148.5(3)
N2-Fe2-C3	77.69(9)	Fe2-C3-C4	112.2(2)
C3C4C5	118.9(2)	C4-C5-N2	99.2(2)
C5-N2-Fe2	112.2(2)	NI-C1-C2	110.0(2)
C1-C2-C3	108.0(3)	C2-C3-C4	104.3(2)
C3-C4-N1	110.4(2)	C4-N1-C1	107.4(2)
N1-C4-C5	130.0(2)	Fe2C3C2	142.3(2)
N2-Fe1-Fe2	53.09(6)	N2-Fe1-C3	74.68(9)
N2-Fe1-C4	61.20(8)	Fe2-Fe1-C3	50.76(7)
Fe2-Fe1-C4	71.33(6)	C3-Fe1-C4	35.29(9)

Deviations [pm] from plane [N1-C1-C2-C3-C4-Fe2-N2]: N1 14.1, C1 - 3.7, C2 - 14.8, C3 - 5.7, C4 10.7, Fe2 22.5, N2 - 23.0, C5 40.8.

Table 5					
Selected bond	lengths	[pm] and	i angles	[°] for	16

Selected cond to	inguis (pin) and	ungen [] tet tu	
Fe1-Fe2	245.9(1)	Fel-NI	196.5(3)
Fel-C3	234.2(4)	Fe1-C4	217.1(4)
S1-C1	173.1(5)	S1-C4	174.6(4)
C1-C2	134.9(7)	C2-C3	142.1(6)
C3-C4	140.4(7)	C3-C5	149.9(6)
C5-NI	148.6(5)	Fe2-C4	194.8(4)
Fe2-N1	199.8(4)	NI-C6	148.2(6)
N1-Fe2-C4	78.3(2)	Fe2-C4-C3	115.9(3)
C4-C3-C5	113.7(4)	C3-C5-N1	101.4(3)
C5-N1-Fe2	111.1(3)	\$1-C1-C2	112.6(4)
C1C2C3	111.9(5)	C2-C3-C4	114.6(4)
C3-C4-S1	108.3(3)	C4-S1-C1	92.4(2)
C2-C3-C5	130.1(4)	Fe2-C4-S1	135.3(3)
NI-Fel-Fe2	52.2(1)	N1-Fe1-C3	64.2(2)
NI-Fel-C4	73.9(2)	Fe2-Fe1-C3	73.0(1)
Fe2-Fe1-C4	49.3(1)	C3-Fel-C4	36.0(2)

Deviations [pm] from plane [S1-C1-C2-C3-C4-Fe2-N1]: S1 - 11.6, C1 - 1.8, C2 8.7, C3 8.3, C4 - 2.4, Fe2 16.9, N1 - 18.2, C5 47.5.

(217.1 pm) corresponds very well with those of the other sulphur containing compounds 7 and 8. The value of C4-Fe1 (234.2 pm) is between the values observed for 7, 8 and 10, 11 respectively. 19 is also derived from a ligand with the imino substituent in 3-position of the heterocycle. But in this case, in contrast to all of the other structures, the bond lengths Fe1-C3 (19: 222.6 pm) and Fe1-C4 (19: 219.9 pm) are of similar value, presumably due to a more effective delocalization of π electrons in the ligand.

The compounds $[(R)N-C(H)-C(H)-Fe(CO)_3-C(O)]Fe(CO)_3$ and $[C(H)-C(H)-N(R)-Fe(CO)_3-C(O)]Fe(CO)_3$ described by Geoffroy and coworkers [12] show a ferrapyrrolinone ligand, which is η^4 -coordinated to a second iron atom. Their crystal structure

Table 6 Selected bond lengths [pm] and angles [°] for 19

		-		
Fei-Fe2	245.9(1)	Fe1-N2	196.9(5)	
Fe1-C3	222.6(5)	Fe1C4	219.9(6)	
N1-C1	140.8(8)	N1-C4	138.6(8)	
C1-C2	140.8(9)	C2-C3	140.5(8)	
C3-C4	140.5(8)	C3-C5	149.1(8)	
C5-N2	148.7(7)	Fe2–C4	193.8(6)	
Fe2-N2	200.3(5)	N2-C10	143.5(8)	
N2-Fe2-C4	78.7(2)	Fe2C4C3	115.2(4)	
C4-C3-C5	114.8(5)	C3-C5-N2	99.1(5)	
C5-N2-Fe2	112.5(3)	N1-C1-C2	107.9(5)	
CI-C2-C3	106.6(5)	C2-C3-C4	108.0(5)	
C3-C4-N1	107.8(5)	C4-N1-C1	109.6(5)	
C2-C3-C5	133.2(5)	Fe2-C4-N1	136.9(4)	
N2-Fe1-Fe2	52.4(1)	N2-Fe1-C3	65.1(2)	
N2-Fe1-C4	73.4(2)	Fe2-Fe1-C3	74.4(2)	
Fe2-Fe1-C4	48.8(2)	C3-Fe1-C4	37.0(2)	

Deviations [pm] from plane [N1-C1-C2-C3-C4-Fe2-N2]: N1 -6.1, C1 -4.4, C2 6.2, C3 6.2, C4 0.3, Fe2 9.0, N2 -11.1, C5 55.7.

analyses reveal the two carbon atoms, the nitrogen and the iron atom showing a planar arrangement, and the carbonyl group being bent out of this plane. But due to the different constitution of the ring system compared with the compounds reported herein, and because of the

presence of a carbonyl group instead of a CH2 group, the bond distances are quite different for those compounds reported in Ref. [12] compared with 7, 8, 10, 11, 16 and 19. The clusters reported by Geoffroy and coworkers always show a longer iron bond as well as a

Table 7 Spectroscopic data for compounds 7-12, 15, 16, 19 and 20

Compound	IR ^a	'H NMR ^b	¹³ C{ ¹ H} NMR ^b
7	2068s, 2033vs, 1988vs	4.26 (s, 2H)	72.8, 113.0, 123.4
		7.00-7.28 (m, 5H)	125.8, 129.2, 131.3
		7.40 (d, 1H, $J_{mi} = 5.1$ Hz)	139.6, 158.2, 158.2
		7.49 (d, 1H, $J_{HH} = 5.1$ Hz)	209.9
8	2063m, 2026vs, 1983vs	0.95-1.76 (m. 10H)	26.0, 26.3, 35.7, 61.6
		2.16 (m. 1H)	74.2, 116.3, 130.5
		3.84 (s. 2H)	139.8. 154.8. 210.4
		7.36 (s. 2H)	
9	2068m, 2030vs, 1991vs	1 27 (s. 9H)	31 3 34 4 72 8
		4 23 (s. 2H)	1128 1228 1257
		692 ° (m 2H)	131 2 1396 148 8
		7 20 ° (m 2H)	155 7 158 3 210.0
		$730(3 H L_{-} = 5 H_2)$	155.7, 1565, 216.6
		$7.48 (d H L = 51 H_2)$	
10	2060m 2014ve 1081ve	3.64 (+ 34)	35 / 60 6 118 8
10	10676	4 13 (r. 2H)	175 7 175 8 176 7
	15073	4.13 (S, 211) 6.50 (s, 111)	170 9 121 5 1270
		6.04 (6, 111)	128.8, 131.3, 137.0
		0.74 (S, IR) 7 12 7 73 (- EU)	139.2, 211.2
	2054 2012 1022-	7.13-7.23 (m, 5f)	26 2 20 2 25 1 25 0
11	2034m, 2013vs, 1973s	0.80-1.70 (m. 10H)	20.2, 29.3, 33.1, 33.9
	19025	2.18 (0, 11)	J0.2, /4.8, 114./
		3.01 (5, 31)	120.5, 130.9, 141.0
		5.78 (S, 2H)	211.7
		0.51 (S, 1H)	
	0000 0010 1001	6.84 (s, 1H)	
12	2059m, 2018vs, 1981s	1.29 (s. 9H)	31.5, 54.4, 55.5
	196/s	3.02 (s, 3H)	09.7, 119.1, 125.0
		4.12 (s, 2H)	125.5, 126.1, 131.4
		$6.59(0, 1H, J_{HH} = 2.8 H2)$	130.7, 148.7, 130.7
		6.93 (d. 1H, $J_{\rm HH} = 2.8$ Hz)	211.3
		7.03c (m, 2H)	
		7.22c (m, 2H)	
15	2067m, 2027vs, 1991s	4.12 (s, 2H)	72.5, 123.3, 123.8
		7.00–7.27 (m, 6H)	124.5, 125.8, 129.0
		$7.87 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 5.2 Hz)$	142.6, 157.4, 158.3
			209.7
10	2064m, 2027vs, 1983s	0.86-1./5 (m, 10H)	26.2, 29.7, 35.8, 60.6
		2.23 (m, 1H)	74.2, 110.7, 124.0
		3.74 (s, 2H)	127.7, 143.4, 210.2
		7.13 (d, 1H, $J_{\rm HH} = 5.1 \rm{Hz}$)	
	Ance Andro 1000	7.84 (d, 1H, $J_{\rm HH} = 5.1 \rm{Hz}$)	70.2.00.2.110.1
19	2066m, 2029vs, 1990s	4.16 (s. 2H)	/0.2. 89.2, 110.1
	1958sh	7.09-7.29 (m, 8H)	120.6, 121.3, 123.8
		7.66 (m, 1H)	125.7, 125.8, 128.0
		8.19 (s, 1H)	128.9, 146.7, 158.4
			170.7, 210.2
20	2061m, 2028vs, 1988s	1.34 (s, 9H)	31.3, 34.4, 70.2, 89.1
	1969sh	4.20 (s, 211)	(10.1, 120.5, 121.2
		7.05-7.33 (m, 711)	123.2, 125.6, 125.7
		7.69 (m, 1H)	128.0, 146.6, 148.7
		3.24 (s, 1H)	155.9, 170.7, 210.2

^a In CH₂Cl₂, 293 K, vs = very strong, s = strong, m = middle, sh = shoalder. In CDCl₃, 293 K, s = singlet, d = doublet, m = multiplet.

" The signals are part of the AA'BB' system of the p-substituted phenyl ring.

longer bond of the nitrogen atom to the apical iron atom. The bond lengths of the apical iron atom to the ring carbon atoms are much shorter than for 7, 8, 10, 11, 16 and 19, and those bond lengths are of nearly equal value in contrast to all structural determinations reported herein, except that for 19.

2.2. Spectroscopy

The IR and NMR data for compounds 7-12, 15, 16, 19 and 20 are given in Table 7. The IR spectra of all compounds show a very similar pattern of bands. It is remarkable that, for the compounds derived from thiophene derivatives (7-9, 15 and 16, X = S), only three IR bands are observed, with the one at the lowest frequency being rather broad, whereas 19 and 20 (X = NH) show a shoulder for the corresponding band and for 10-12 (X = NMe) this band is split into two distinct bands.

The most characteristic fact of all 'H NMR spectra is the resonance of the methylene group formed from the former imine group of the ligand by 1,? hydrogen shift. This resonance is observed in the range 4.12-4.26 ppm for all compounds bearing aromatic substituents at the former imine nitrogen atom (7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 19 and 20) and in the range 3.74-3.84 ppm for cyclohexyl substituted envil-amido ligands (8, 11, 16). The resonances of the olefinic hydrogen atoms of the heterocyclic ring systems in 7-12, 15 and 16 are shifted about 0.1-0.4 ppm downfield compared with the free ligands (see Table 9, experimental part). All other substituents as well as the methyl group of the N-methyl-pyrrole system in 10-12 and the proton resonance of the NH function of 19 and 20 show the expected chemical shifts.

In the ¹³C NMR spectra of 7-12 the resonance of the methylene group is again most characteristic of this class of compounds. In general the resonances show a nearly identical chemical shift, if aromatic substituents are present at the former imine nitrogen atom (7: R = Ph, X = S, 72.8 ppm; 9: $R = p^{-1}Bu-C_6H_4$, X = S, 72.8 ppm; 10: R = Ph, X = NMe, 69.7 ppm) with an approximately 3 ppm highfield shift by exchanging sulphur for nitrogen as the heteroatom of the ligand. The resonances of the methylene group in 8 and 11 with a cyclohexyl substituent are shifted 11 ppm (8: X = S, 61.6 ppm) or 13 ppm (11: X = NMe, 56.2 ppm) high-field compared with their analogues with aromatic substituents.

The 13 C NMR spectrum of 15 shows a resonance for the methylene group at 72.5 ppm, which is about the same chemical shift as for its isomer 7. Again the corresponding resonance in the cyclohexyl substituted derivative 16 is shifted 12 ppm to higher field, which is about the same value as for 10-12. The 13 C NMR spectra of 19 and 20 both show a signal at 70.2 ppm for the methylene group of the ligand, which is about the same value as for the other derivatives with aromatic substituents at the nitrogen atom (7, 9, 10, 12, 15).

The resonances of all other carbon atoms in 7-12, 15, 16, 19 and 20 are of expected values (Table 7).

The ¹³C NMR spectra of all compounds show only one resonance for the CO ligands at room temperature, indicating a dynamic behaviour in solution as has been reported for compounds of general formula $[\mu_2-\eta^3-(R)N-CH_2-C(H)-C(R')]Ru_2(CO)_6$ [5].

3. Experimental

3.1. Reagents and solvents

All procedures were carried out under an argon atmosphere in anhydrous, freshly distilled solvents. Chromatography was done using silica gel 60 and silanized silica gel 60, 70–230 mesh ASTM (Merck), which were dried at 10^{-2} bar (10^3 Pa) for two days before use. Fe₂(CO)₅ was prepared from Fe(CO)₅ (Lancaster) by irradiation in acetic acid [18].

3.2. Physical measurements

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR System 2000 using 0.2 mm KBr cuvettes; NMR spectra on a Bruker AC 200 spectrometer (1H: 200 MHz with SiMe₄ as internal standard; ¹³C: 50.32 MHz with CDCl₃ as internal standard); HMQC, HMBC, NOESY and TOCSY spectra of 19 on a Bruker DRX 400 spectrometer; mass spectra on a Finnigan MAT SSQ 710 instrument. Elemental analyses were carried out at the laboratory of the Institute of Organic and Macromolecular Chemistry of the Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena. Crystal structure determinations of 7, 8, 10, 11 and 19 were carried out on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer, the crystal structure determination of 16 was carried out on a Siemens P4 diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo K a radiation. The crystals were mounted in a stream of cold nitrogen. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, 7, 8, 10 and 11 also for absorption. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix leastsquares techniques against F^2 using the programs SHELXS-86 and SHELXL-93 [19]. Computations of the structures were done with the program XPMA and the molecular illustrations were drawn using the program ZORTEP [20]. The crystal and intensity data are given in Table 8. Additional material on the structure analyses is available from the Fachinformationszentrum Chemie, Physik, Mathematik GmbH, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen 2, Germany by mentioning

Table 8 Crystal and intensity data for compounds 7, 8, 10, 11, 16 and 19

compound	7	8	10	11	16	10
formula	C., H. NO. SEe.	C., H., NO. SEe.	C H N O Fe	CHNOR	C H NO SEA	C H NOF
mol weight	467 01	473.06	464.00	470.04	473.06	500.03
[g mol ⁻¹]		115.00	404.00	110.01	415.00	500.05
radiation	ΜοΚα	Μο Κα	Μο Κα	ΜοΚα	ΜοΚα	Mo Ka
monochromator	graphite	graphite	graphite	graphite	graphite	graphite
temperature [K]	173	173	173	173	183	173
crystal colour	red	red	red	orange	orange	orange
crystal size [mm ³]	0.3 × 0.3 × 0.2	$0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.05$	$0.5 \times 0.1 \times 0.02$	$0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.1$	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.02$	$0.6 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$
a [Å]	8.621(2)	18.0598(7)	9.816(2)	12.347(3)	17.760(2)	15.714(7)
b [Å]	8.774(2)	8.564(5)	13.120(4)	16.105(2)	8.721(3)	8.137(2)
c [Å]	12.135(1)	12.285(2)	15.449(3)	20.072(4)	12.258(3)	16.749(2)
α [°]	78.85(1)	90	90	90	90	90
β[°]	85.36(I)	98.949(5)	106.02(2)	90	98.38(2)	108.99(2)
γ[°]	81.18(2)	90	90	90	90	90
V [Å ³]	888.7(3)	1877(1)	1912.3(8)	3991(1)	1878(1)	2025(1)
z	2	4	4	8	4	4
F(000)	468	960	936	1920	960	1008
ρ _{rate} [g cm ^{−3}]	1.745	1.674	1.612	1.564	1.673	1.640
crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	PĨ	P2,/c	P2,/c	Pbca	P21/c	P2,/c
abs. coeff. [mm ⁻¹]	1.789	1.695	1.558	1.494	1.693	1.478
abs. correction	psi-scan	psi-scan	psi-scan	psi-scan	-	-
minmax.	94.15%-99.91%	90.31%-99.89%	89.16%-99.86%	87.74%-99.95%	-	-
transmisson						
θ limit [°]	1-22	1-27	1-27	1-28	1-23	1-25
scan mode	ω-2θ	$\omega - 2\theta$	ω-2θ	ω–2 0	ω-2θ	ω−2 0
scan speed ["min"]	1–10	1-10	1-10	1-10	7-60	1-10
refl. measured	2288	4380	3815	4714	3309	3685
independent refl.	2162	4248	3604	4714	2462	3547
obs. refl.	2016	3616	2310	3584	1926	2610
$F_0^* > 2\sigma(F_0^*)$						
no. of parameters	280	305	301	273	255	287
GOOF	1.062	1.077	1.117	1.128	1.025	0.958
R ₁	0.0246	0.0274	0.0370	0.0331	0.0401	0.0538
WK ₂	0.0639	0.0709	0.0778	0.0763	0.0980	0.1330
nnai curr. map	0.303	0.435	0.403	0.479	0.070	0.946
ciection density						
[eA ⁻ ']		-				

the deposition numbers CSD-405223 (7), CSD-405224 (8), CSD-405225 (10), CSD-405226 (11), CSD-405438 (16), CSD-405227 (19), the name of the author and the journal citation.

3.3. Preparation of the compounds

3.3.1. Preparation of the imines 1-6

5 ml of the aldehyde (thiophene-2-carbaldehyde 0.055 mol, N-methylpyrrole-2-carbaldehyde 0.047 mol) were stirred at 60 °C overnight together with an equimolar amount of the corresponding amine (1: 5 ml aniline, 2: 6.3 ml cyclohexylamine, 3: 8.8 ml 4-*tert*-butyl-aniline, 4: 4.3 ml aniline, 5: 5.4 ml cyclohexylamine, 6: 7.5 ml 4-*tert*-butyl-aniline). During the reaction a small layer of water was formed. The reaction mixture was distilled under vacuum to give the imines as yellow (1, 3, 4-6) or colourless (2) oils in yields of 85-90%. The imines 1-3 crystallized upon standing in the refrigerator overnight (m.p. 1: 16° C, 2: 37° C, 3: 56° C; b.p. 4: 68° C (1.8×10^{-3} mbar), 5: 98° C (1.5×10^{-3} mbar), 6: 124° C (1×10^{-3} mbar)).

3.3.2. Preparation of 13 and 14

3 ml thiophene-3-carbaldehyde (0.034 mol) was stirred at 60° C overnight together with an equimolar amount of the corresponding amine (13: 3.1 ml aniline, 14: 3.9 ml cyclohexylamine). Again the formation of a small water layer was observed. Vacuum distillation of the reaction mixtures gave 5.47 g (86%) of 13 as a light green oil and 4.92 g (75%) of 14 as a pale yellow oil. Both oils crystallized upon standing in the refrigerator overnight (m.p. 13: 13°C, 14: 42°C).

3.3.3. Preparation of 17 and 18

3g of indole-3-carbaldehyde (0.021 mol) was dissolved in 60 ml cyclohexane and an equimolar amount of the corresponding amine (17: 1.9 ml aniline, 18:

Table 9 Spectroscopic data for compounds 1-6, 13, 14, 17 and 18

Compound	IR ^a	¹ H NMR ^b	¹³ C{ ¹ H} NMR ^b
1	1676vs	7.08 (dd, 1H, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 3.6 Hz, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 4.9 Hz) 7.15-7.22 (m, 3H)	120.9, 125.9, 127.6 129.0, 130.2, 132.1 142.8, 151.3, 152.9
		7,30-7.47 (m, 4H)	142.0, 101.0, 102.9
		8.52 (s. 1H)	
2	1632vs	1.1-1.86 (m, 10H)	24.7, 25.5, 34.2, 69.4
		3.14 (m, 1H)	127.1, 128.2, 129.7
		7.03 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH} = 3.6$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 4.8$ Hz)	142.9, 151.6
		7.26 (dd. 1H, $J_{\rm HH} = 0.8$ Hz, $J_{\rm HH} = 3.6$ Hz)	
		7.34 (dd, 1H, $J_{\rm HH} = 0.8$ Hz, $J_{\rm HH} = 4.8$ Hz)	
		8.38 (s. 1H)	
	1616vs	1.34 (s, 9H)	31.4, 34.5, 120.6
		7.11 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH} = 3.7$ Hz, $J_{HH} \approx 5.0$ Hz)	126.0, 127.6, 129.9
		7.13-7.20 (m. 2H)	131.7, 143.1, 148.7
		736 749 (m. 4H)	149.1, 152.2
		8 58 (s. 1H)	
	168545	4 08 (s. 3H)	36 8 108 7 115 0
	100215	$6.26 (dd, 1H, J_{m} = 2.5 Hz, J_{m} \approx 3.8 Hz)$	1186 1207 1740
		$6.74 (dd, 1H, J_{HH} = 1.7 Hz, J_{HH} = 3.8 Hz)$	170 1 130 3 150 0
		Sector of the sector of the sector	152.9
		6.82 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH} = 1.7$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 2.5$ Hz)	
		7.17-7.26 (m, 3H)	
		7.37-7.46 (m. 2H)	
		8.34 (s, 1H)	
	1712vs	1.22-1.89 (m, 10H)	24.5, 25.8, 34.7, 36.3
		3.06 (m, 1H)	69.9, 107.7, 115.2
		3.95 (s. 3H)	127.1, 130.2, 149.5
		6.16 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH} = 2.6 \text{ Hz}$, $J_{HH} = 3.7 \text{ Hz}$)	
		6.49 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH} = 1.8$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 3.7$ Hz)	
		6.69 (dd, 1H, $J_{\rm HH} = 1.8$ Hz, $J_{\rm HH} = 2.6$ Hz)	
	1713	8.21 (s, 1H)	
	1712vs	1.39 (s. 9H) 4.99 (s. 211)	31.4,34.4,36.8
		4.09 (S, 3H) 6.75 (44, 111, 4 - 2.6 11- 4 - 2.6 11-	108.6, 118.2, 120.3
		6.21 (dd. 1H, $J_{HH} = 1.7$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 3.8$ Hz)	148 0 150 2 150 3
		6.81 (dd 1H I = 1.7 Hz I = 2.6 Hz)	146.0, 150.2, 150.5
		7 12-7 25 (m 2H)	
		7.41-7.48 (m. 2H)	
		8.36 (s. 1H)	
3	1692vs	7.19-7.29 (m. 3H)	120.7, 125.8, 125.9
		7.36-7.46 (m, 3H)	126.6, 128.6, 129.2
		7.72 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH} = 1.2$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 5.1$ Hz)	130.1, 140.8, 152.0
		7.80 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH} = 1.2$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 2.9$ Hz)	154.3
		8.46 (s. 1H)	
4	1639vs	1.17-1.85 (m, 10H)	24.8, 25.6, 34.3, 69.9
		3.02-3.16 (m, 1H)	125.9, 126.1, 127.6
		7.26 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH} = 2.9 \text{ Hz}$, $J_{HH} = 5.0 \text{ Hz}$)	140.9, 152.8
		$7.49(0, 1H, J_{HH} = 5.0Hz)$	
7	162110	$7.53 (00, 1H, J_{HH} = 0.9 HZ, J_{HH} = 2.9 HZ)$ 7.15 7.42 (m. 84)	111 5 116 5 120.0
,	105175	7.13-7.42 (III, 61) 7.52 (c. 111)	111.5, 110.5, 120.9
		848 (m 1H)	121.0, 121.9, 123.7
		8.63 (s. 1H)	130 2 136 8 152 2
		8.88 (br. 1H)	154.8
8	1624vs	1.33 (s, 9H)	31.5, 34.5, 111.4
		7.16-7.41 (m. 9H)	116.5, 120.5, 121.8
		7.61 (s, 1H)	122.0, 123.6, 125.4
		8.43-8.50 (m, 1H)	126.0, 129.8, 136.9
		8.55 (br, 1H)	148.1, 150.7, 154.1
		8.67 (s, tH)	

 a In CH_2Cl_2, 293 K, C=N bond vibration, vs = very strong. b In CDCl_3, 293 K, s = singlet. dd = doublet of doublets, m = multiplet, br = broad.

3.3 ml 4-tert-butyl-aniline) was added. The mixture was refluxed in a water separator until no more formation of water was observed. Upon cooling the product precipitated as a light brown microcrystalline powder. After filtration the imines were purified by recrystallization from a cyclohexane/ethanol mixture to yield 3.51 g 17 (76%, m.p. 132 °C) and 4.93 g 18 (85%, m.p. 178 °C) respectively.

The IR and NMR data of the compounds 1-6, 13, 14, 17 and 18 are given in Table 9.

3.3.4. Preparation of 7-12, 14, 15, 19 and 20

In a typical experiment 0.5 g of Fe₂(CO)₉ (1.37 mmol) was suspended in 30 ml n-heptane together with 2.05 mmol of the corresponding imine (7: 383 mg 1, 8: 498 mg 2, 9: 396 mg 3, 10: 377 mg 4, 11: 492 mg 5, 12: 390 mg 6, 15: 383 mg 13, 16: 396 mg 14, 19: 451 mg 17, 20: 566 mg 18). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C until all of the material had dissolved. The colour of the solution changed from yellow to deep red. Afterwards the solvent was removed under vacuum. The red oily residue was dissolved in 10 ml CH₂Cl₂ and 1 g silanized silica gel was added. After removal of the solvent the mixture of products was chromatographed. Using light petroleum (b.p. 40-60 °C) as eluent, an orange band of 7-12, 15, 16, 19 or 20 respectively was obtained (yields 7: 409 mg, 64%, 8: 337 mg, 52%, 9: 150 mg, 21%, 10: 369 mg, 58%, 11: 290 mg, 45%, 12: 93 mg, 13%, 15: 441 mg, 68%, 16: 650 mg, 67%, 19: 411 mg, 60%, 20: 137 mg, 18%). With light petroleum/CH₂Cl, (3:1) a green band of Fe₃(CO)₁₂ was eluted in the chromatography of 9, 12 and 20. This band increased if the temperature during the reaction was raised above 50°C. In all cases with CH₂Cl₂/EtOH (20:1) a small deep red band was eluted, which could not be identified due to the very low yields (< 10 mg) and the instability of the product. Anal. Found: C, 44.11; H, 2.21; N, 3.02. 7 C₁₇H₉NO₆SFe₂ Calc.: C, 43.72; H, 1.94; N, 3.00%. Anal. Found: C, 43.46; H, 3.91; N, 2.73. 8 C₁₇H₁₅NO₆SFe₂ Calc.: C, 43.16; H, 3.20; N, 2.96%. Anal. Found: C, 46.90; H, 3.41; N, 2.68. 9 C21H17NO6SFe2 Calc.: C, 48.22; H, 3.28; N, 2.68%. Anal. Found: C, 46.63; H, 2.86; N, 5.93. 10 C₁₈H₁₂N₂O₆Fe₂ Calc.: C, 46.59; H, 2.61; N, 6.04%. Anal. Found: C, 45.97; H, 3.90; N, 5.90. 11 C18H18N2O6Fe2 Calc.: C, 46.00; H, 3.86; N, 5.96%. Anal. Found: C, 50.72; H, 4.38; N, 5.07. 12 C₂₂H₂₀N₂O₆Fe₂ Calc.: C, 50.81; H, 3.88; N, 5.39%. Anal. Found: C, 43.75; H, 2.08; N, 3.01. 15 C17 H9NO6SFe2 Calc.: C, 43.72; H, 1.94; N, 3.00%. Anal. Found: C, 43.01; H, 3.48; N, 2.84. 16 C17 H15 NO6 SFe2 Calc.: C, 43.16; H, 3.20; N, 2.96%. Anal. Found: C, 50.44; H, 2.58; N, 5.48. 19 C21H12N2O6Fe2 Calc.: C, 50.44; H, 2.42; N, 5.60%. Anal. Found: C, 54.05; H, 4.30; N, 4.88. 20 C25H20N206Fe2 Calc.: C, 53.99; H, 3.62; N, 5.04%.

3.4. Mass spectrometry

1. Electron impact (EI): m/z (%) 187 (94, M⁺), 186 (100, M⁺ - R), 154 (4, $C_{11}H_8N^+$), 153 (4, $C_{11}H_7N^+$), 115 (7, $C_8H_5N^+$), 104 (6, $C_7H_6N^+$), 93 (5, $C_6H_7N^+$), 77 (46, $C_6H_5^+$), 51 (19, $C_4H_3^+$), 45 (5, CHS⁺), 39 (8, $C_3H_5^+$).

2. EI: m/z (%) 193 (100, M⁺), 150 (6, C₇H₁₄NS⁺), 137 (5, C₇H₁₁NS⁺), 123 (3, C₆H₇NS⁺), 110 (18, C₅H₄NS⁺), 97 (8, C₅H₅S⁺), 83 (10, C₄H₃S⁺), 70 (3, C₂H₂NS⁺), 55 (7, C₄H₇⁺), 41 (8, C₂H₃N⁺), 39 (7, C₃H₇⁺).

3. EI: m/z (%) 243 (62, M⁺), 228 (100, $C_{14}H_{1,4}NS^+$), 212 (7, $C_{13}H_{10}NS^+$), 200 (11, $C_{12}H_{10}NS^+$), 186 (6, $C_{11}H_8NS^+$), 144 (22, $C_{10}H_{10}N^+$), 115 (24, $C_5H_9NS^+$), 100 (33, $C_5H_8S^+$), 91 (18, $C_7H_7^+$), 77 (22, $C_6H_7^+$), 65 (5, $C_5H_7^+$), 51 (6, $C_4H_3^+$), 41 (9, $C_1H_3N^+$), 39 (10, $C_1H_7^+$).

4. EI: m/z (%) 184 (100, M⁺), 168 (11, C₁₁H₈N₂⁺), 156 (27, C₁₀H₈N₂⁺), 107 (24, C₆H₇N₂⁺), 91 (17, C₇H₇⁺), 80 (20, C₅H₆N⁺), 77 (34, C₆H₅⁺), 65 (6, C₅H₅⁺), 51 (17, C₄H₃⁺), 39 (9, C₃H₃⁺).

5. EI: m/z (%) 190 (100, M⁺), 175 (3, C₁₁H₁₅N₂⁺), 160 (18, C₁₀H₁₂N₂⁺), 147 (45, C₉H₁₁N₂⁺), 133 (18, C₈H₉N₂⁺), 120 (14, C₇H₈N₂⁺), 107 (81, C₆H₇N₂⁺), 94 (80, C₅H₆N₂⁺), 82 (16, C₅H₈N⁺), 66 (10, C₄H₈N⁺), 55 (10, C₄H₇⁺), 41 (14, C₂H₈N⁺), 39 (11, C₃H₃⁺).

6. E1: m/z (%) 240 (79, M⁺), 225 (100, C₁₅H₁₇N₂⁺), 212 (10, C₁₄H₁₆N₂⁺), 197 (5, C₁₃H₁₃N₇⁺), 183 (18, C₁₂H₁₁N₂⁺), 168 (3, C₁₁H₈N⁺), 144 (15, C₉H₈N₂⁺), 115 (16, C₈H₅N⁺), 98 (26, C₅H₁₀N₂⁺), 91 (20, C₇H₇⁺), 82 (21, C₅H₈N⁺), 77 (8, C₆H₅⁺), 43 (17, C₂H₅N⁺), 39 (5, C₃H₃⁺).

7. EI: m/z (%) 467 (21, M⁺), 439 (12, M⁺ - CO), 411 (32, M⁺ - 2CO), 383 (10, M⁺ - 3CO), 355 (20, M⁺ - 4CO), 327 (62, M⁺ - 5CO), 299 (100, M⁺ -6CO), 273 (12, C₅H₇FeNS⁺), 246 (19, C₇H₄Fe₂NS⁺), 149 (41, C₈H₇NS⁺), 144 (97, C₃H₆FeNS⁺), 112 (13, Fe⁺₇), 77 (16, C₆H⁺₇), 56 (37, C₃H₆N⁺, Fe⁺).

8. EI: m/z ($\frac{7}{6}$) $\frac{473}{473}$ (3, M⁺), $\frac{445}{445}$ (2, M⁺ - CO), 417 (11, M⁺ - 2CO), 389 (3, M⁺ - 3CO), 361 (24, M⁺ - 4CO), 333 (60, M⁺ - 5CO), 305 (100, M⁺ - 6CO), 248 (4, C₁₀H₁₄FeNS⁺), 236 (7, C₉H₁₂FeNS⁺), 222 (7, C₈H₁₀FeNS⁺), 209 (9, C₇H₉FeNS⁺), 196 (9, C₆H₈FeNS⁺), 182 (12, C₅H₆FeNS⁺), 169 (20, C₄H₈FeNS⁺), 152 (27, C₄H₂FeNS⁺), 169 (20, C₄H₅FeNS⁺), 152 (27, C₄H₂FeNS⁺), 144 (42, C₃H₆FeNS⁺), 112 (16, Fe⁺₂), 97 (20, C₅H₅S⁺), 56 (20, C₄H₆N⁺, Fe⁺), 41 (10, C₃H⁺₄).

9 EI: m/z (%) 523 (29, M⁺), 495 (15, M⁺ - CO), 467 (21, M⁺ - 2CO), 439 (25, M⁺ - 3CO), 411 (16, M⁺ - 4CO), 383 (63, M⁺ - 5CO), 355 (100, M⁺ -6CO), 144 (67, C₃H₆FeNS⁺), 106 (89, C₇H₈N⁺), 91 (80, C₁H₇), 77 (19, C₆H₇⁺), 56 (C₄H₈⁺).

10 EI: m/z (%) 464 (4, M⁺), 436 (3, M⁺-CO), 408 (9, M⁺- 2CO), 380 (4, M⁺- 3CO), 352 (7, M⁺-4CO), 324 (44, M⁺- 5CO), 296 (100, M⁺- 6CO), 281 (2, $C_{11}H_9Fe_2N_2^+$), 240 (13, $C_8H_4Fe_2N_2^+$), 225 (21, $C_{11}H_9FeN_2^+$), 204 (4, $C_5H_4Fe_2N_2^+$), 176 (7, $C_7H_8FeN_2^+$), 162 (11, $C_6H_6FeN_2^+$), 148 (50, $C_5H_4FeN_2^+$), 112 (10, Fe_2^+), 94 (8, C_2FeN^+), 56 (20, $C_3H_6N_4^+$, Fe^+).

11. EI: m/z (%) 470 (14, M⁺), 442 (6, M⁺-CO), 414 (14, M⁺-2CO), 386 (13, M⁺-3CO), 358 (35, M⁺-4CO), 330 (37, M⁺-5CO), 302 (100, M⁺-6CO), 207 (17, C₉H₁₅FeN₂⁺), 193 (8, C₈H₁₃FeN₂⁺), 179 (14, C₇H₁₁FeN₂⁺), 165 (21, C₆H₉FeN₂⁺), 151 (92, C₅H₇FeN₂⁺), 137 (13, C₄H₅FeN₂⁺), 124 (7, C₃H₄FeN₇⁺), 112 (Fe₂⁺), 94 (33, C₂FeN⁺), 56 (C₃H₆N⁺, Fe⁺).

12 EI: m/z (%) 520 (35, M⁺), 492 (17, M⁺ - CO), 464 (10, M⁺ - 2CO), 436 (16, M⁺ - 3CO), 408 (9, M⁺ - 4CO), 380 (33, M⁺ - 5CO), 352 (63, M⁺ - 6CO), 296 (9, C₁₆H₂₀FeN₂⁺), 281 (19, C₁₅H₁₇FeN₂⁺), 166 (29, C₇H₈FeN₂⁺), 112 (13, Fe₂⁺), 106 (80, C₇H₈N⁺), 91 (100, C₇H₇⁺), 78 (33, C₆H₆⁺), 56 (30, C₃H₆N⁺, Fe⁺), 52 (22, C₄H₄⁺), 44 (21, C₂H₆N⁺).

13. EI: m/z (%) 187 (91, M⁺), 186 (100, M⁺ – H), 154 (4, $C_{11}H_8N^+$), 115 (9, $C_8H_5N^+$), 104 (8, $C_7H_6N^+$), 93 (7, $C_6H_7N^+$), 77 (71, $C_6H_5^+$), 51 (29, $C_4H_3^+$), 45 (7, CHS⁺), 39 (11, $C_3H_3^+$).

14. EI: m/z (%) 193 (48, M⁺), 176 (9, C₁₀H₁₀NS⁺), 164 (44, C₉H₁₀NS⁺, 150 (74, C₈H₈NS⁺), 138 (53, C₇H₈NS⁺), 136 (48, C₇H₆NS⁺), 123 (16, C₆H₁NS⁺), 110 (100, C₅H₄NS⁺), 96 (69, C₅H₄S⁺), 83 (20, C₄H₅S⁺), 70 (18, C₃H₂S⁺), 55 (21, C₄H₇⁺), 45 (22, CHS⁺), 41 (51, C₃H₇⁺), 32 (44, S⁺).

15. EI: m/z (%) 466 (33, M⁺), 438 (12, M⁺ - CO), 411 (80, M⁺ - 2CO), 383 (13, M⁺ - 3CO), 355 (50, M⁺ - 4CO), 327 (91, M⁺ - 5CO), 299 (100, M⁺ -6CO), 273 (10, C₉H₇Fe₂NS⁺), 150 (36, C₈H₈NS⁺), 144 (43, C₃H₆FeNS⁺), 112 (8, Fe⁺₂), 77 (11, C₆H⁺₅), 56 (28, C₃H₆N⁺, Fe⁺).

16. EI: m/z (%) 473 (11, M⁺), 445 (7, M⁺ - CO), 417 (24, M⁺ - 2CO), 389 (13, M⁺ - 3CO), 361 (34, M⁺ - 4CO), 333 (39, M⁺ - 5CO), 305 (99, M⁺ - 6CO), 279 (13, C₅H₄NSFe⁺₂), 249 (6, C₁₁H₄NSFe⁺), 222 (10, C₅H₄NSFe⁺₂), 209 (8, C₄H₃NSFe⁺₂), 197 (11, C₃H₃NSFe⁺₂), 181 (29, C₃HSFe⁺₂), 169 (67, C₂HSFe⁺₂), 153 (100, C₄H₃NSFe⁺), 112 (53, Fe⁺₂), 97 (66, C₅H₅S⁺), 84 (18, C₄H₄S⁺), 56 (87, Fe⁺), 41 (29, C₃H⁺₂).

17. Chemical impact CI: m/z (%) 249 (10, M⁺+ C₂H₃), 221 (100, M⁺+ H).

18. EI: m/z (%) 276 (43, M⁺), 261 (100, C₁₈H₁₇N₂⁺), 245 (7, C₁₇H₁₃N₇⁺), 233 (4, C₁₆H₁₃N₇⁺), 219 (13, C₁₅H₁₀N₇⁺), 145 (25, C₆H₉N₂⁺), 131 (15, C₉H₉N⁺), 116 (63, C₈H₆N⁺), 102 (12, C₇H₄N⁺), 91 (22, C₇H₇⁺), 77 (19, C₆H₃⁺), 65 (5, C₆H₅⁺), 57 (13, C₄H₇⁺), 41 (12, C₂H₃N⁺), 39 (8, C₃H₃⁺).

19. EI: m/z (%) 500 (15, M⁺), 472 (12, M⁺ - CO), 444 (30, M⁺ - 2CO), 416 (6, M⁺ - 3CO), 388 (25, M⁺ - 4CO), 360 (62, M⁺ - 5CO), 332 (100, M⁺ - 6CO), 276 (20, $C_{15}H_{12}Fen_2^+$), 259 (7, $C_8H_9Fe_2N_2^+$), 219 (9, $C_6H_5Fe_2N_2^+$), 166 ($C_3H_4Fe_2N^+$), 112 (3, Fe_2^+), 77 (4, $C_6H_3^+$), 56 (15, $C_3H_6N^+$, Fe^+).

20. EI: m/2 (%) 556 (20, M⁺), 528 (8, M⁺ - CO), 500 (10, M⁺ - 2CO), 472 (15, M⁺ - 3CO), 444 (24, M⁺ - 4CO), 416 (51, M⁺ - 5CO), 388 (100, M⁺ -6CO), 332 (13, C₁₉H₂₀FeN₂⁺), 317 (6, C₁₈H₁₇FeN₂⁺), 275 (5, C₁₅H₁₁FeN₂⁺), 194 (50, C₄H₆Fe₂N₂⁺), 187 (32, C₈H₇FeN₂⁺), 112 (5, Fe₂⁺), 77 (6, C₆H₃⁺), 57 (18, C₄H₉⁺), 56 (28, C₃H₆N₇⁺, Fe⁺), 43 (34, C₃H₇⁺).

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Dr. W. Günther (Institute of Organic Chemistry and Macromolecular Chemistry) for recording of HMQC, HMBC, NOESY and TOCSY spectra of 19.

References

- B.J. Fisher and R. Eisenberg, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 764;
 A.J. Kunin and R. Eisenberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 535;
 A.J. Kunin and R. Eisenberg, Organometallics, 7 (1988) 2124;
 T. Sakakura, T. Sodeyama, K. Sasaki, K. Wada and M. Tanaka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112 (1990) 7221.
- [2] P. Hong and H. Yamazaki, Chem. Lett., (1979) 1335.
- [3] E.J. Moore, W.R. Pretzer, T.J. O'Connell, J. Harris, L. laBounty, L. Chou and S.S. Grimmer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 114 (1992) 5888.
- [4] N. Chatani, T. Fukuyama, F. Kakiuchi and S. Murai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 118 (1996) 493.
- [5] C.J. Elsevier, W.P. Mul and K. Vrieze, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 198-200 (1992) 689 and references cited therein; W. Imhof, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1996) 1429.
- [6] S. Orsuka, T. Yoshida and A. Nakamura, Inorg. Chem., 6 (1967) 20; A. De Cian and R. Weiss, Acta Crystallogr., B28 (1972) 3264; A.M. Brodie, B.F.G. Johnson, P.L. Josty and J. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1972) 2031; M.F. Semmelhack and C.H. Cheng, J. Organomet. Chem., 393 (1990) 237.
- [7] R. Grée, Synthesis, (1989) 341.
- [8] N. Feiken, H.-W. Frühauf and K. Vrieze, Organometallics, 13 (1994) 2825.
- [9] W.P. Mul, C.J. Elsevier, L.H. Polm, K. Vrieze, M.C. Zoutberg, D. Heijdenrijk and C.H. Stam, Organometallics, 10 (1991) 2247.
- [10] T. Carofiglio, S. Stella, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa and C. Guastini, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1989) 1957.
- [11] N.S. Nametkin, V.D. Tyurin, V.V. Trusov, A.S. Batsanov and Y.T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem., 219 (1981) C26; N.S. Nametkin, V.D. Tyurin, V.V. Trusov, A.I. Nekhaev, G.G. Alexandrov, N.A. Parpiev, M.T. Tashev and H.B. Doustov, J. Organomet. Chem., 276 (1984) 199; N.S. Nametkin, V.D. Tyurin, V.V. Trusov, A.I. Nekhaev, A.S. Batsanov and Y.T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem., 302 (1986) 243.
- [12] C.A. Mirkin, K.-L. Lu, G.L. Geoffroy, A.L. Rheingold and D.L. Staley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111 (1989) 7249; C.A. Mirkin, K.-L. Lu, G.L. Geoffroy and A.L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112 (1990) 461; C.A. Mirkin, K.-L. Lu, T.E. Snead, B.A. Young, G.L. Geoffroy, A.L. Rheingold and B.S. Haggerty, J. Am.

Chem. Soc., 113 (1991) 3800; T.E. Snead, C.A. Mirkin, K.-L. Lu, S.-B.T. Nguyen, W.-C. Feng, H.L. Beckmann and G.L. Geoffroy, Organometallics, 11 (1992) 2613.

- [13] C.P. Casey and C.R. Cyr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 2248; J. Rodriguez, P. Brun and B. Waegell, J. Organomet. Chem., 333 (1987) C25; 359 (1989) 343; M. Green and R.P. Hughes, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1975) 619; (1976) 1907; D.V. McGrath and R.H. Grubs, Organometallics, 13 (1994) 224.
- [14] W.T. Hendrix and J.L.V. Rosenberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 4850; L.S. Trifonov, A.S. Orahovats and H. Heimgartner, Helv. Chim. Acta, 70 (1987) 1070; M. Arthurs, M. Skoan, M.G.B. Drew and S.M. Nelson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1975) 1794.
- [15] M. Wrighton, G.S. Hammond and H.B. Gray, J. Organomet. Chem., 70 (1974) 283; A. Salzer, J. Organomet. Chem., 117 (1976) 245.
- [16] F.G. Cowherd and J.L.V. Rosenberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 2157.
- [17] H. Bönnemann, M. Radermacher, C. Krüger and H.-J. Kraus, Helv. Chim. Acta, 66 (1983) 185; G.A. Sim, D.I. Woodhouse and G.R. Knox, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1979) 629; N. el Murr, Y. Dusausoy, J.E. Sheats and M. Agnew, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1979) 901; O.V. Starovskii and Y.T. Struchkov, Zh. Strukt. Rhim, 6 (1965) 248; E. Cannillo and K. Prout. Acta Crystallogr., B33 (1977) 3916; J.P. Blaha and M.S. Wrighton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 2694; W.D. Jones and J.A. Maguire, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 951; F.J. Feher, M. Green and A.G. Orpen, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1986) 291;

N.J. Meanwell, A.J. Smith and P.M. Maitlis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1986) 1419; R.H. Crabtree, R.P. Dice, D.J. Gibboni, D.V. McGrath and E.M. Holt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 7222; M.I. Bruce, P.A. Humphrey, J.K. Walton, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, J. Organomet. Chem., 333 (1987) 393; B.T. Donovan, R.P. Hughes, A.S. Kowalski, H.A. Tmiilio and A.L. Rheingold, Organometallics, 12 (1993) 1638; R.S. Hay-Motherwell, G. Wilkinson, T.K.N. Sweet and M.B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1994) 2223; W.E. Bahro, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz, Inorg. Chem., 28 (1989) 3837; L.A. Paquette, G.A. O'Doherty, B.L. Miller, R.D. Rogers, A.L. Rheingold and S.L. Geib, Organometallics, 8 (1989) 2167; Y. Nishihara, K.J. Deck, M. Shang and T.P. Fehiner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 115 (1993) 12224; L.-K. Lin and L.-S. Lun. Organometallics, 13 (1994) 2816; N.W. Alcock, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1967) 2001; U. Behrens and E. Weiss, J. Organomet. Chem., 96 (1975) 399; J.T. Spencer, J.A. Spencer, R.A. Jacobson and J.G. Verkade, New. J. Chem. 13 (1989) 275; A.L. Rheingold and K.A. Jacobson, Acta Crystallogr., C48 (1992) 740; J.M. O'Counor, L. Pu, R. Uhrhaummer, J.A. Johnsons and A.L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111 (1989) 1889.

- [18] G. Braser, Handbuch der pr
 üp. anorg. Chemie, Past C, No. 1, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 8th eda., 1968, p. 19.
- [19] G. Sheldrick, SHELXS-86, Universität Göttingen, 1986; SHELXL-93, Universität Göttingen, 1993.
- [20] L. Zsolnai and G. Huttner, XRWA, Universität Heidelberg, 1996; L. Zsolnai, H. Pritzkow and G. Huttner, ZORTEP, Universität Heidelberg, 1996.